

# A Remark of Diophantine Equation $ax+by=n$

Xue Xiaoguo<sup>1</sup>, Meng Qianqian<sup>2</sup>, Zhang Bin<sup>3</sup>, Ren Fumei<sup>1</sup>

(1.School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China)

(2.Dongyuqiao Primary School of Yanzhou District, Yanzhou 272100, China)

(3.School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China)

**Abstract:** Let  $a, b$  be positive integers such that  $(a, b)=1$  and let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Define  $D(a, b; n)$  to be the number of non-negative integer solutions  $(x, y)$  of the Diophantine equation  $ax+by=n$ . Tripathi proved that

$$D(a, b; n) = \frac{n}{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta_a^{-jn}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{\zeta_b^{-kn}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}},$$

where  $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$ . In this note, we put forward a recurrence relation of  $D(a, b; n)$ , thus giving a new proof of above formula.

**Key words:** Diophantine equation, generating function, Residue theorem

**CLC number:** 11D45; 11D04; O5A15 **Document code:** A **Article ID:** 1001-4616(2015)04-0032-04

## 丢番图方程 $ax+by=n$ 的一个注记

薛晓果<sup>1</sup>, 孟倩倩<sup>2</sup>, 张彬<sup>3</sup>, 任福梅<sup>1</sup>

(1. 南京师范大学数学科学学院, 江苏 南京 210023)

(2. 兖州区东御桥小学, 山东 兖州 272100)

(3. 曲阜师范大学数学科学学院, 山东 曲阜 273165)

**[摘要]** 令  $a, b$  为互素的正整数,  $n$  为非负整数.  $D(a, b; n)$  表示不定方程  $ax+by=n$  的非负整数解  $(x, y)$  的个数. Tripathi 证明了

$$D(a, b; n) = \frac{n}{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta_a^{-jn}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{\zeta_b^{-kn}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}},$$

其中  $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$ . 在本文中, 我们建立了  $D(a, b; n)$  的递推关系, 从而给出了上述结论的新证明.

**[关键词]** 丢番图方程, 生成函数, 留数定理

Let  $a, b$  be positive integers such that  $(a, b)=1$  and  $n$  be a non-negative integer. We denote by

$$D(a, b; n) = \#\{(x, y) | ax + by = n, (x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2\},$$

the number of non-negative integer solutions  $(x, y)$  of the Diophantine equation

$$ax + by = n.$$

It is well known that

$$D(a, b; n) = \left[ \frac{n}{ab} \right] + \gamma,$$

where  $\gamma=0$  or  $\gamma=1$ , see [3, 6] for details. We refer the readers to Dickson<sup>[1]</sup> or Hua<sup>[2]</sup> for an introduction to this topic and a survey of the literature about related problems.

In 2000, Tripathi<sup>[5]</sup> proved that

**Theorem 1** (Tripathi) Let  $a, b$  be positive integers such that  $(a, b)=1$  and  $n$  be a positive integer. Define

**Received data:** 2015-09-17.

**Foundation item:** Supported by Project of Graduate Education Innovation of Jiangsu Province (KYLX\_0690), Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20133207110012) and the Doctoral Starting up Foundation of Qufu Normal University.

**Corresponding author:** Zhang Bin, lecturer, majored in algebraic number theory. E-mail: zhangbin100902025@163.com

$D(a, b; n)$  to be the number of non-negative integer solutions  $(x, y)$  of the Diophantine equation  $ax + by = n$ . Then

$$D(a, b; n) = \frac{n}{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta_a^{-jn}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{\zeta_b^{-kn}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$ .

The author gave two proofs of the above results. In the first it was determined that the function  $D(a, b; n)$  using generating functions, while in the second it was verified that the expression obtained for  $D(a, b; n)$  satisfies the properties which uniquely characterize the function  $D(a, b; n)$ . In this note, a new proof of formula (1) is given.

## 1 Some Lemmas

To prove Theorem, the following lemmas will be useful.

**Lemma 1** (Residue Theorem) Suppose  $f(z)$  is analytic inside and on a simple closed contour  $C$  except for isolated singularities at  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$ , inside  $C$ . Then

$$\int_C f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Res}(f(z), z = z_k).$$

**Proof** See p. 310 in [4].

**Lemma 2** Let  $a$  be a positive integer and  $\zeta_a = e^{2\pi i/a}$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_a^j} = \frac{a-1}{2}. \quad (2)$$

**Proof** First note that (2) is trivially valid for  $a = 1$ . Recall that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} x^j = \prod_{j=1}^{a-1} (x - \zeta_a^j), \quad (3)$$

if  $a \geq 2$ , it follows from the logarithmic derivative of (3) and taking  $x = 1$ .

**Lemma 3** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and  $n \geq 2$ , the following recurrence relation is obtained.

$$D(a, b; n) - 2D(a, b; n-1) + D(a, b; n-2) = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{(\zeta_a^j - 1)^2 \zeta_a^{-jn}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{(\zeta_b^k - 1)^2 \zeta_b^{-kn}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}}. \quad (4)$$

**Proof** On one hand, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1-z)^2}{(1-z^a)(1-z^b)} &= (1-z)^2 \sum_{n \geq 0} D(a, b; n) z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} D(a, b; n) z^n - 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} D(a, b; n) z^{n+1} + \sum_{n \geq 0} D(a, b; n) z^{n+2} = \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 2} (D(a, b; n) - 2D(a, b; n-1) + D(a, b; n-2)) z^n. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, by using partial fractions expansion theory, we deduce

$$\frac{(1-z)^2}{(1-z^a)(1-z^b)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{a-1} (1 - \zeta_a^j z) \prod_{k=1}^{b-1} (1 - \zeta_b^k z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{A_j}{1 - \zeta_a^j z} + \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{B_k}{1 - \zeta_b^k z} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} A_j \zeta_a^{jn} + \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} B_k \zeta_b^{kn} \right) z^n, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_j &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_a^{-j}} \frac{(1-z)^2 (1 - \zeta_a^j z)}{(1-z^a)(1-z^b)} = \frac{(\zeta_a^{-j} - 1)^2}{a(1 - \zeta_a^{-bj})}, \\ B_k &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_b^{-k}} \frac{(1-z)^2 (1 - \zeta_b^k z)}{(1-z^a)(1-z^b)} = \frac{(\zeta_b^{-k} - 1)^2}{b(1 - \zeta_b^{-ak})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (4) follows immediately by comparing the coefficients of  $z^n$  in (5) and (6).

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Clearly,  $D(1, 1; n) = n + 1$  and then (1) holds. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $1 \leq a < b$ . We now apply the method of induction on  $n$  to prove this theorem.

For  $n = 0$ , by using Lemma 2, the proof is clear. We next aim to show that formula (1) is valid for  $n = 1$ .

If  $a = 1$  and  $b > 1$ , then

$$1 = D(a, b; 1) = \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{\zeta_b^{-k}}{1 - \zeta_b^k} = \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \left( \zeta_b^{-k} + \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_b^k} \right) = 1.$$

If  $1 < a < b$ , the following is desired

$$0 = D(a, b; 1) = \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta_a^{-j}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{\zeta_b^{-k}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}}. \quad (7)$$

Let  $C$  be a circle centered at the origin having radius  $r(>1)$  and consider

$$h(z) = \frac{1-z}{(1-z^a)(1-z^b)z^2}.$$

Indeed, it suffices to observe that  $h(z)$  has simple poles inside  $C$  at  $z=1$ ,  $z=\zeta_a^j$  ( $j=1, \dots, a-1$ ),  $z=\zeta_b^k$  ( $k=1, \dots, b-1$ ) and a pole of order 2 at  $z=0$  with

$$\text{Res}(h(z), z=1) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)h(z) = -\frac{1}{ab},$$

$$\text{Res}(h(z), z=0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (z^2 h(z))' = -1,$$

$$\text{Res}(h(z), z=\zeta_a^j) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_a^j} (z - \zeta_a^j)h(z) = -\frac{\zeta_a^{-j}}{a(1 - \zeta_a^{bj})} + \frac{1}{a(1 - \zeta_a^{bj})},$$

$$\text{Res}(h(z), z=\zeta_b^k) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_b^k} (z - \zeta_b^k)h(z) = -\frac{\zeta_b^{-k}}{b(1 - \zeta_b^{ak})} + \frac{1}{b(1 - \zeta_b^{ak})}.$$

Then, by using Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(z) dz &= \text{Res}(h(z), z=1) + \text{Res}(h(z), z=0) + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \text{Res}(h(z), z=\zeta_a^j) + \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \text{Res}(h(z), z=\zeta_b^k) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{ab} - 1 + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}} - \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta_a^{-j}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{\zeta_b^{-k}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{ab} - \frac{1}{2} + \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right) - \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta_a^{-j}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{\zeta_b^{-k}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}} = -D(a, b; 1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_C h(z) dz \right| &= \left| \int_C \frac{1-z}{(1-z^a)(1-z^b)z^2} dz \right| \leq \int_C \left| \frac{1-z}{(1-z^a)(1-z^b)z^2} \right| |dz| \leq \int_C \frac{|z|+1}{(|z|^a-1)(|z|^b-1)|z|^2} |dz| = \\ &= \int_C \frac{1+r}{(r^a-1)(r^b-1)r^2} ds = \frac{r+1}{(r^a-1)(r^b-1)r^2} 2\pi r, \end{aligned}$$

where  $ds = \sqrt{(dx)^2 + (dy)^2} = |dz|$ . It can easily be verified that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \int_C h(z) dz = 0.$$

Thus (7) follows. So far, we get the case  $n=1$ .

Now suppose (1) holds for  $m \leq n-1$ . Using induction hypothesis and recurrence relation (4), we finally infer that

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, b; n) &= 2D(a, b; n-1) - D(a, b; n-2) = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{(\zeta_a^j - 1)^2 \zeta_a^{-jn}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{(\zeta_b^k - 1)^2 \zeta_b^{-kn}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}} = \frac{n}{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{2\zeta_a^{-j(n-1)} - \zeta_a^{-j(n-2)} + (\zeta_a^j - 1)^2 \zeta_a^{-jn}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{2\zeta_b^{-k(n-1)} - \zeta_b^{-k(n-2)} + (\zeta_b^k - 1)^2 \zeta_b^{-kn}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}} = \\ &= \frac{n}{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta_a^{-jn}}{1 - \zeta_a^{bj}} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{\zeta_b^{-kn}}{1 - \zeta_b^{ak}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Professor Ji Chungang for useful discussions. We would also like to thank the referee for very valuable comments and helpful suggestions.

[参考文献]

- [1] DICKSON L E. History of the theory of numbers; diophantine analysis[M]. New York: Chelsea Publishing Co., 1966.
- [2] HUA L K. Introduction to number theory[M]. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [3] NIVEN I, ZUCKERMAN H S, MONTGOMERY H L. An introduction to the theory of numbers[M]. 5th ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1991.
- [4] PONNUSAMY S, SILVERMAN H. Complex variables with applications[M]. Berlin: Birkhauser Boston, 2006.
- [5] TRIPATHI A. The number of solutions to  $ax + by = n$  [J]. Fibonacci quart, 2000(38): 290–293.
- [6] WILF H S. Generating function ology[M]. 3rd ed. Wellesley: A K Peters, Ltd, 2006.

[责任编辑: 黄 敏]

---

(上接第 13 页)

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, a projective dynamics is proposed for minimizing general linear programming. The new method is based on the variational inequality properties. We extend the variational inequality method to construct a new ODE system. The new dynamic will be very useful to solve large scale optimization problems.

[参考文献]

- [1] FLETCHER R. Practical methods of optimization[M]. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1987.
- [2] LIAO L Z. A continuous method for convex programming problems[J]. J Optim Theory and Appl, 2005(124): 207–226.
- [3] HE B S. A modified projection and contraction method for a class of linear complementarity problems[J]. J Comput Math, 1996(14): 54–63.
- [4] EAVES B C. On the basic theorem of complementarity[J]. Math Programming, 1971(1): 68–75.
- [5] HARKER P T, PANG J S. A damped-Newton method for the linear complementarity problem[M]//Computational Solution of Nonlinear Systems of Equations: Lectures in Applied Mathematics. USA: American Mathematical Society, 1990: 265–284.
- [6] CICHOCKI A, UNBEHAUEN R. Neural networks for optimization and signal processing[M]. London, UK: Wiley, 1993.
- [7] HAN Q M, LIAO L Z, QI H D, et al. Stability analysis of gradient-based neural networks for optimization problems[J]. J Global Optim, 2001(19): 363–381.

[责任编辑: 黄 敏]