

doi:10.3969/j.issn.1001-4616.2016.01.004

# Some Inequalities for Commutators

Yang Xingdong, Liu Shihui, Tu Yuanyuan

(College of Mathematics & Statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing 210044, China)

**Abstract:** If  $\Gamma$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  are  $m \times n$  diagonal matrices and  $A$  and  $B$  are normal matrices, then the upper bound of Frobenius norm of  $A\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)} - \mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}B$  should be  $\frac{1}{\sigma}\|A\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}B\|_F$ . Some related inequalities are proved, and the results are generalized.

**Key words:** eigenvalues, singular values, frobenius norm, inequality, commutator

**CLC number:** 15A57, 15A42   **Document code:** A   **Article ID:** 1001-4616(2016)01-0025-04

# 若干交换算子不等式

杨兴东, 刘诗卉, 涂媛媛

(南京信息工程大学数学与统计学院, 江苏 南京 210044)

[摘要] 本文中设  $\Gamma$  和  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  为  $m \times n$  矩阵,  $A$  和  $B$  分别为  $m$  及  $n$  阶正规矩阵, 利用矩阵特征值与奇异值性质, 证明如下不等式:  $\sigma\|A\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)} - \mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}B\|_F \leq \|A\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}B\|_F$ . 同时, 推广了相关文献的结论.

[关键词] 特征值, 奇异值,  $F$ -范数, 不等式, 交换算子

## 1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, we use the following notations:  $M(C)$  is a set of complex matrices, and  $C^{m \times n}$  is the set of  $m$  by  $n$  complex matrices;  $C_r^{m \times n}$  is the set of  $m \times n$  complex matrices having rank  $r$ .  $R(A)$  denotes the column space of  $A$ .  $A^H$  and  $A^+$  denote the conjugate transpose and Moore-Penrose inverse of  $A$ , respectively.  $I_n$  is the unit matrix of order  $n$  and  $m \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$ ,  $d_i(A)$  denotes the  $i$  th diagonal element of  $A$ , that is,  $d_i(A) = a_{ii}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).  $\|\cdot\|_2$  denotes the Euclidean norm of a vector or the spectral norm of a matrix and  $\|\cdot\|_F$  the Frobenius norm of matrix.

The main problem considered in this paper is comparing the Frobenius norm of the matrix  $A\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)} - \mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}B$  with that of a commutator  $A\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}B$ , where  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $\Gamma$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  are elements of  $M(C)$  satisfying some additional conditions. This kind of problems have been studied by the authors and the results have been found useful in the field of numerical analysis and physics<sup>[1-7]</sup>.

It is well known that the diagonal elements  $a_{ii}$ 's of Hermitian semi-definite matrix  $A$  are nonnegative real numbers. Hence, if  $A$  is an Hermitian semi-definite matrix, and  $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with positive diagonal elements, then

Received data: 2015-02-17.

Foundation item: National Natural Science Foundation of China(40975037).

Corresponding author: Yang Xingdong, professor, majored in numerical algebra. E-mail: xdyandnuist@163.com

$$\text{tr}(A\Lambda) = \text{tr}(\Lambda A) \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

We need the inequality (1) in the following proof of the result.

## 2 Main Results

**Theorem 1** Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be normal matrices and

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Omega} & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n},$$

$$\Omega = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) \geq O, \quad \tilde{\Omega} = \text{diag}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_r) \geq O.$$

And let the matrices  $A, B$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$d_i(B^H B) - d_i(A^H A) \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, r). \quad (2)$$

Then

$$\|A\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}B\|_F \geq \sigma \|AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B\|_F, \quad (3)$$

Where  $\sigma = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sigma_i$ .

**Proof** Firstly, we prove the inequality (3) holds for  $\tilde{\Gamma} - \Gamma \geq O$ . Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ ;

where  $A_{11}, B_{11} \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ . By (2) and the assumption that  $A$  and  $B$  are normal matrices, i.e.,  $A^H A = AA^H$ ,  $B^H B = BB^H$ , we get

$$A_{11}A_{11}^H + A_{12}A_{12}^H = A_{11}^H A_{11} + A_{21}^H A_{21}, \quad B_{11}B_{11}^H + B_{12}B_{12}^H = B_{11}^H B_{11} + B_{21}^H B_{21}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$d_i(B_{11}B_{11}^H + B_{12}B_{12}^H) = d_i(B_{11}^H B_{11} + B_{21}^H B_{21}) \geq d_i(A_{11}^H A_{11} + A_{21}^H A_{21}) = d_i(A_{11}A_{11}^H + A_{12}A_{12}^H) \quad (i = 1, \dots, r). \quad (5)$$

Assume that  $\Sigma = \Gamma - \sigma I_{m \times n}^{(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega - \sigma I_r & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\tilde{\Sigma} = \tilde{\Gamma} - \sigma I_{m \times n}^{(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Omega} - \sigma I_r & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  are two

diagonal matrices with diagonal elements nonnegative. By direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} - B_{11} & -B_{12} \\ A_{21} & O \end{pmatrix}, \\ A\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}B &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(\Omega - \sigma I_r) - (\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma I_r)B_{11} & -(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma I_r)B_{12} \\ A_{21}(\Omega - \sigma I_r) & O \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$(AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B)^H (A\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}B) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_{11}^H - B_{11}^H)(A_{11}(\Omega - \sigma I_r) - (\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma I_r)B_{11}) + A_{21}^H A_{21}(\Omega - \sigma I_r) & * \\ * & B_{12}^H (\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma I_r)B_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

$$[(A\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}B)^H (AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B)] = \begin{pmatrix} ((\Omega - \sigma I_r)A_{11}^H - B_{11}^H(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma I_r))(A_{11} - B_{11}) + (\Omega - \sigma I_r)A_{21}^H A_{21} & * \\ * & B_{12}^H (\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma I_r)B_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where the star(\*) parts in (6) and (7) will not be used in our derivations.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}B\|_F^2 &= \|A(\Sigma + \sigma I_{m \times n}^{(r)}) - (\tilde{\Sigma} + \sigma I_{m \times n}^{(r)})B\|_F^2 = \|\sigma(AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B) + (A\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}B)\|_F^2 = \sigma^2 \|AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B\|_F^2 + \\ &\quad \|A\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}B\|_F^2 + 2\sigma \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}[(AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B)^H (A\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}B)]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here  $\operatorname{tr}$  denotes the trace of a matrix,  $\operatorname{Re}$  the real part of a complex number. We claim that

$$2\sigma \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}[(AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B)^H (A\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}B)] \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

So, (9) together with (8) leads to

$$\|A\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}B\|_F^2 \geq \sigma^2 \|AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)}B\|_F^2, \quad (10)$$

which proves (3). Now we have to prove (9).

By using (6) and (7) and the property of the matrix trace:  $\text{tr}(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{N}\mathbf{M})$  for two matrices  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  with suitable dimensions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}[(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)} - \mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B})^H(\mathbf{A}\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}\mathbf{B})] = \operatorname{tr}[(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)} - \mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B})^H(\mathbf{A}\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{A}\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}\mathbf{B})^H(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)} - \mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B})] = \\
 & \operatorname{tr}\{(\mathbf{A}_{11}^H - \mathbf{B}_{11}^H)[\mathbf{A}_{11}(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r) - (\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}]\} + \operatorname{tr}\{[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}^H - \mathbf{B}_{11}^H(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)](\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})\} + 2\operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{B}_{12}^H] + \\
 & 2\operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{21}^H\mathbf{A}_{21}] = \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}^H\mathbf{A}_{11} - (\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11} - (\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{A}_{11} + (\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11}] + \\
 & \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H - (\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H - (\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{B}_{11}^H + (\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}\mathbf{B}_{11}^H] + \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}\mathbf{B}_{11}^H] + \\
 & \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}^H\mathbf{A}_{11}] - \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11}] - \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H] + 2\operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{B}_{12}^H] + 2\operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{21}^H\mathbf{A}_{21}] = \\
 & \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})^H(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})] + \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})^H] + \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)(\mathbf{B}_{11}\mathbf{B}_{11}^H + \mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{B}_{12}^H)] - \\
 & \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11}] + \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{B}_{12}^H] + \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)(\mathbf{A}_{11}^H\mathbf{A}_{11} + \mathbf{A}_{21}^H\mathbf{A}_{21})] + \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{21}^H\mathbf{A}_{21}] - \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H]. \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r \geq 0$ ,  $(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})^H(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11}) \geq 0$ , and  $\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r \geq 0$ ,  $(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})^H \geq 0$ .

So, by using (1), we readily have

$$\operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})^H(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})] \geq 0,$$

and

$$\operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})(\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{B}_{11})^H] \geq 0.$$

In this case, the equality (11) becomes the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (11) \geq & \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)(\mathbf{A}_{11}^H\mathbf{A}_{11} + \mathbf{A}_{21}^H\mathbf{A}_{21})] - \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H] + \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)(\mathbf{B}_{11}\mathbf{B}_{11}^H + \mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{B}_{12}^H)] - \\
 & \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11}] + \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{B}_{12}^H] + \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{21}^H\mathbf{A}_{21}]. \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (12), together with (4) and (11), lends to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}[(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)} - \mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B})^H(\mathbf{A}\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}\mathbf{B})] \geq \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega)(\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11} + \mathbf{B}_{21}^H\mathbf{B}_{21})] - \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega)(\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H + \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{12}^H)] + \\
 & \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{B}_{12}^H] + \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{21}^H\mathbf{A}_{21}] + \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{12}^H] + \operatorname{tr}[(\Omega - \sigma\mathbf{I}_r)\mathbf{B}_{21}^H\mathbf{B}_{21}] \geq \\
 & \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega)(\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11} + \mathbf{B}_{21}^H\mathbf{B}_{21})] - \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega)(\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H + \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{12}^H)]. \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H + \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{12}^H = (a_{ij})_{r \times r}$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11} + \mathbf{B}_{21}^H\mathbf{B}_{21} = (b_{ij})_{r \times r}$ .

Obviously,  $\tilde{\Gamma} - \Gamma \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_i - \sigma_i \geq 0$ , and  $d_i(\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{B}) - d_i(\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{B}) \geq 0$ ,  $d_i(\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{B}) \geq d_i(\mathbf{A}^H\mathbf{A})$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ), we get

$$\operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega)(\mathbf{B}_{11}^H\mathbf{B}_{11} + \mathbf{B}_{21}^H\mathbf{B}_{21})] = \sum_{i=1}^r (\tilde{\sigma}_i - \sigma_i)b_{ii} \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (\tilde{\sigma}_i - \sigma_i)a_{ii} = \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega)(\mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{A}_{11}^H + \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{A}_{12}^H)].$$

which proves (9). Hence (3) holds for  $\tilde{\Gamma} - \Gamma \geq 0$ .

Secondly, we prove the general situation. Let the positive number  $\delta$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\delta \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{I}_{n \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}) + \operatorname{tr}[\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{I}_{n \times m}^{(r)}(\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}\mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}\mathbf{B})^H\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}] \geq 0, \text{ and } \tilde{\Omega} + \delta\mathbf{I}_r \geq \Omega.$$

Then

$$(\tilde{\Omega} + \delta\mathbf{I}_r) - \Omega \geq 0, \tag{14}$$

and

$$3\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}^H\delta^2\mathbf{I}_{n \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B} + \operatorname{tr}\{\mathbf{B}^H\delta\mathbf{B}_{n \times m}^{(r)}[\mathbf{A}\Gamma - (\tilde{\Gamma} + \delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)})\mathbf{B}] + [\mathbf{A}\Gamma - (\tilde{\Gamma} + \delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)})\mathbf{B}]^H\delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}\}) \geq 0. \tag{15}$$

Let  $\mathbf{T} = \tilde{\Gamma} + \delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}$ . Then, the inequality (14) implies that the following inequality holds:  $\mathbf{T} - \tilde{\Gamma} \geq 0$ . By simple computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 = \|\mathbf{A}\Gamma - (\mathbf{T} - \delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)})\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 = \|\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 + \|\delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 + 2\delta \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}[\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{I}_{n \times m}^{(r)}(\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B})] = \\
 & \|\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 + 3\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}^H\delta^2\mathbf{I}_{n \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}) - 2\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}^H\delta^2\mathbf{I}_{n \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}) + \operatorname{tr}[\mathbf{B}^H\delta\mathbf{I}_{n \times m}^{(r)}(\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B})^H\delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}] = \\
 & \|\mathbf{A}\Gamma - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}\|_F^2 + 3\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}^H\delta^2\mathbf{I}_{n \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}) + \operatorname{tr}\{[\mathbf{B}^H\delta\mathbf{I}_{n \times m}^{(r)}(\mathbf{A}\Gamma - (\tilde{\Gamma} + \delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)})\mathbf{B}) + [\mathbf{A}\Gamma - (\tilde{\Gamma} + \delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)})\mathbf{B}]^H\delta\mathbf{I}_{m \times n}^{(r)}\mathbf{B}]\}. \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

So, (16) together with (15), leads to  $\|A\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}B\|_F^2 \geq \|A\Gamma - TB\|_F^2$ .

From the inequality  $\tilde{\Gamma} - \Gamma \geq 0$  and the specific case above we have proved, it may be concluded that  $\|A\Gamma - TB\|_F^2 \geq \sigma^2 \|AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)} B\|_F^2$ . Hence, (3) holds. The proof is completed.

**Remark 1** Let  $U, \tilde{U} \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ ,  $V, \tilde{V} \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$  be unitary matrices, and let  $\Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be of the forms in Theorem 1. Then  $\tilde{U}^H U \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ ,  $\tilde{V}^H V \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$  are unitary. Of course, they are normal matrices, e.g.,

$$(\tilde{U}^H U)^H (\tilde{U}^H U) = (\tilde{U}^H U)(\tilde{U}^H U)^H = I_m, \quad (\tilde{V}^H V)^H (\tilde{V}^H V) = (\tilde{V}^H V)(\tilde{V}^H V)^H = I_n.$$

Hence,

$$d_i[(\tilde{U}^H U)^H \tilde{U}^H U] = d_i[(\tilde{V}^H V)^H \tilde{V}^H V] = 1, \quad (i = 1, \dots, r, 1 \leq i \leq \min\{m, n\}).$$

For these reasons, it follows from the unitary invariance of  $\|\cdot\|_F$  and Theorem 1 that

$$\|U\Gamma V^H - \tilde{U}\tilde{\Gamma}\tilde{V}^H\|_F = \|\tilde{U}^H U\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}\tilde{V}^H V\|_F \geq \sigma \|\tilde{U}^H U I_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)} \tilde{V}^H V\|_F = \sigma \|U I_{m \times n}^{(r)} V^H - \tilde{U} I_{m \times n}^{(r)} \tilde{V}^H\|_F,$$

where  $\sigma = \min_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \{\sigma_i, \tilde{\sigma}_j\}$ .

Overall, we have the following inequality,

$$\|U\Gamma V^H - \tilde{U}\tilde{\Gamma}\tilde{V}^H\|_F \geq \sigma \|U I_{m \times n}^{(r)} V^H - \tilde{U} I_{m \times n}^{(r)} \tilde{V}^H\|_F. \quad (17)$$

Particularly, choose  $V^H$ ,  $\tilde{U}$  as  $n \times n$  unit matrix and  $m \times m$  unit matrix, respectively. And let  $\tilde{V}^H = V$ . Then the inequality (17) becomes

$$\|U\Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}V\|_F \geq \sigma \|U I_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)} V\|_F. \quad (18)$$

The inequality (17) is the result of Theorem 2 in [1], and the inequality (18) is Lemma 1 in [1].

**Remark 2** We get the following result, which was proved by Sun in [2]: Let  $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ ,  $B \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$  be normal matrices and

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}_r^{m \times n},$$

Where  $\Omega = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \geq 0$ . Then

$$\|A\Gamma - \Gamma B\|_F \geq \sigma_n \|AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)} B\|_F. \quad (19)$$

In fact, If  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma$  in Theroem 1, then  $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega}$ . In this case, from the inequality (13) we see that

$$2 \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}[(AI_{m \times n}^{(r)} - I_{m \times n}^{(r)} B)^H (A\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}B)] \geq 0,$$

which means that (19) holds.

## [参考文献]

- [1] LI R C. A perturbation bound for the generalized polar decomposition[J]. BIT Numerical Mathematic, 1993, 33:304–308.
- [2] SUN J G, CHEN C H. Generalized polar decomsition[J]. Journal of computational mathematics, 1989(3):262–273.
- [3] MARSHALL A W, OLKIN I. Inequalities:theory of majoration and its applications[J]. New York: Academic Press, 1979.
- [4] WEISS G. The Fuglede commutativity theorem modulo the Hilbert-Schmidt class and generating functions for matrix operators, I, II[J]. Trans Amer Math Soc, 1978, 246:193–209.
- [5] LI RC C. New perturbation bounds for the unitary polar factor[J]. SIAM J Matrix Annl Appl, 1995, 16:327–332.
- [6] RAJENDRA R, CHANDLER D, FUAD K. Some inequalities for commutators and an applications to spectral variation[J]. Aequationes mathematicae, 1991, 41:70–78.
- [7] DAVIS C, KAHAN W M. The rotation of eigenvectors by a perturbation[J]. SIAM J Numer Anal, 1970(1):1–46.

[责任编辑:陆炳新]